



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/821,587	03/29/2001	Darin Wayne Higgins	108344.00020	3453
22852	2852 7590 04/19/2004		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			WANG, JIN CHENG	
LLP 1300 I STRI	FFT NW	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2672	17
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- \				
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action		09/821,587	HIGGINS ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jin-Cheng Wang	2672	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
There final (condi	REPLY FILED 24 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE efore, further action by the applicant is required to a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appen ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of this application in the same of the sa	cation. A proper reply to a chiplaces the application in	
	PERIOD FOR RE	<u>:PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]		
b) have b 37 CFI (b) abo	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Itensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens R 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened ve, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE terms on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in	
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2.🖂	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(8	a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(t	o) 🔲 they raise the issue of new matter (see Note t	pelow);		
(0	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the	
(0	I) \square they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment	
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the	
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 6-20</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			

MICHAEL RAZAVI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

10. Other: ____

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)
 09/821,587

•

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment after FINAL to claim 1 and similar claims recites the new limitation of "determining a geographic region on the second map corresponding to the first region; and adding a second annotation to the second map at the determined geographic region" in a method for manipulating a map and therefore it would require further consideration and/or search for the prior art because this limitation was not present in any of the original claims